

No. 04-1581

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

WISCONSIN RIGHT TO LIFE, INC.,
Appellant,
v.
FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION,
Appellee.

**On Appeal From
The United States District Court
For The District Of Columbia**

**BRIEF OF
UNITED STATES SENATOR MITCH McCONNELL
AS *AMICUS CURIAE*
IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT**

THEODORE B. OLSON
Counsel of Record
DOUGLAS R. COX
AMIR C. TAYRANI
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-8500

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether the prohibition on corporate disbursements for “electioneering communications” during a statutorily imposed black-out period, codified at 2 U.S.C. § 441b, is unconstitutional as applied to television advertisements that are devoted exclusively to urging constituents to contact named elected officials regarding pending governmental matters.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i>	1
STATEMENT	2
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	6
ARGUMENT	8
I. GRASS-ROOTS LOBBYING IS CORE POLITICAL SPEECH	8
A. Grass-Roots Lobbying Is Protected Under The Petition Clause Of The First Amend- ment.....	12
B. Grass-Roots Lobbying Is Integral To Self- Governance	16
C. Grass-Roots Lobbying Is Integral To The Marketplace Of Ideas.....	18
D. Grass-Roots Lobbying Enables All Seg- ments Of The Population To Engage In The Political Process.....	20
II. GRASS-ROOTS LOBBYING EFFORTS DO NOT PRESENT THE SAME CONCERNS THAT LED THIS COURT TO REJECT A FACIAL CHALLENGE TO BCRA’S ELECTIONEERING COMMUNICATIONS PROVISIONS	21
CONCLUSION.....	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Abrams v. United States</i> , 250 U.S. 616 (1919).....	18
<i>Ashcroft v. ACLU</i> , 535 U.S. 564 (2002).....	8
<i>Austin v. Mich. State Chamber of Commerce</i> , 494 U.S. 652 (1990)	12, 23
<i>Brown v. Hartlage</i> , 456 U.S. 45 (1982)	7
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i> , 424 U.S. 1 (1976)	17
<i>Colo. Republican Fed. Campaign Comm. v.</i> <i>FEC</i> , 518 U.S. 614 (1996).....	2
<i>E. R.R. Presidents Conference v. Noerr Motor</i> <i>Freight, Inc.</i> , 365 U.S. 127 (1961)	13, 15, 16
<i>FEC v. Mass. Citizens for Life, Inc.</i> , 479 U.S. 238 (1986)	10, 11, 23, 26, 27
<i>First Nat’l Bank v. Bellotti</i> , 435 U.S. 765 (1978)	18
<i>FTC v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Ass’n</i> , 493 U.S. 411 (1990)	15
<i>Garrison v. Louisiana</i> , 379 U.S. 64 (1964).....	16, 27
<i>Harte-Hanks Commc’ns, Inc. v. Connaughton</i> , 491 U.S. 657 (1989)	8
<i>Herbert v. Lando</i> , 441 U.S. 153 (1979).....	16
<i>Lamont v. Postmaster Gen.</i> , 381 U.S. 301 (1965)	19
<i>McConnell v. FEC</i> , 251 F. Supp. 2d 176 (D.D.C. 2003).....	24
<i>McConnell v. FEC</i> , 540 U.S. 93 (2003)	<i>passim</i>
<i>McDonald v. Smith</i> , 472 U.S. 479 (1985)	13

	Page(s)
CASES (cont'd)	
<i>Meyer v. Grant</i> , 486 U.S. 414 (1988).....	9, 10
<i>Mills v. Alabama</i> , 384 U.S. 214 (1966).....	9
<i>NAACP v. Alabama</i> , 357 U.S. 449 (1958)	14
<i>NAACP v. Claiborne Hardware Co.</i> , 458 U.S. 886 (1982)	13
<i>Nixon v. Shrink Mo. Gov't PAC</i> , 528 U.S. 377 (2000)	2
<i>N.Y. Times Co. v. Sullivan</i> , 376 U.S. 254 (1964)	8
<i>Palko v. Connecticut</i> , 302 U.S. 319 (1937)	27
<i>Regan v. Taxation with Representation of Wash.</i> , 461 U.S. 540 (1983)	15
<i>Roth v. United States</i> , 354 U.S. 476 (1957)	9
<i>Thomas v. Collins</i> , 323 U.S. 516 (1945)	19
<i>United States v. Auto. Workers</i> , 352 U.S. 567 (1957)	2
<i>United States v. Cruikshank</i> , 92 U.S. 542 (1876).....	13, 14
<i>United States v. Eichman</i> , 496 U.S. 310 (1990)	14
<i>United States v. Nordic Vill., Inc.</i> , 503 U.S. 30 (1992)	25
<i>Whitney v. California</i> , 274 U.S. 357 (1927).....	18
STATUTES	
2 U.S.C. § 431(18).....	25
2 U.S.C. § 434(f)(3)(A)(i)	3
2 U.S.C. § 441b	i, 1, 3, 4

	Page(s)
RULES	
11 C.F.R. § 100.29(b)(2).....	3, 25
11 C.F.R. pt. 102.....	11
Electioneering Communications, 67 Fed. Reg. 65,190 (proposed Oct. 23, 2002).....	9
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Carol Rice Andrews, <i>Motive Restrictions on Court Access: A First Amendment Challenge</i> , 61 OHIO ST. L.J. 665 (2000)	12, 13
RAYMOND C. BAILEY, POPULAR INFLUENCE UPON PUBLIC POLICY: PETITIONING IN EIGHTEENTH-CENTURY VIRGINIA (1979)	13
STEPHEN BREYER, ACTIVE LIBERTY: INTERPRETING OUR DEMOCRATIC CONSTITUTION (2005).....	16
David Cole, <i>Hanging with the Wrong Crowd: Of Gangs, Terrorists, and the Right of Association</i> , 1999 SUP. CT. REV. 203 (1999).....	14
Editorial, <i>Year-Round Political Ads?; The Campaign to Persuade Is Never Ending, Alas</i> , PITTSBURG POST-GAZETTE, Aug. 2, 2005, at B-6.....	24
FEC, Campaign Guide for Corporations and Labor Unions.....	11
Steven J. Heyman, <i>Righting the Balance: An Inquiry into the Foundations and Limits of Freedom of Expression</i> , 78 B.U. L. REV. 1275 (1998)	9

